## KEENE STATE COLLEGE GRADUATE PROGRAMS DEMONSTRATION OF PROFESSIONAL LEADERSHIP

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION SECTION EVALUATION (PILOTED SPRING 2009)

STUDENT'S NAME			Date		
COMPLETED	BY:				
□ KSC FACULTY:		DEER	□ OTHER_		
DIRECTIONS:	EXAMINE EACH ASPECT OF THE SUMMAR POINTS.	RY AND CONCLUSION SECTION AND	THEN PROVIDE AN OVERALL F	RATING (1, 2, OR 3) FOR A TOTAL OF	
SUM	MARY AND CONCLUSION COMPONENT	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS	
Y N Subhe	INTRODUCTION (2 PARAGRAPHS)  SCORE: rading, "Introduction", provided for this	1 Introduction does not provide a clear transition from the results section. The purpose and overview of problem statement are not stated or are consistent with what is stated in other sections. Although a framework for this section is presented, it is not described in a logical manner.	2 Introduction provides a transition from the results section and purpose and overview of problem statement remind the reader of the focus and the rationale for the project. The framework for this section is presented in a logical fashion.	3 Introduction provides a transition from the results section and purpose and overview of problem statement remind the reader of the focus and the rationale for the project making a strong connection for the reader. The framework for this section is easily understood and presented in a logical fashion.	

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION COMPONENT	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	1 This section is not organized by research questions. Conclusions drawn do not reflect a	2 This section is organized by research questions. Conclusions drawn reflect a critical	3 This section is organized by research questions. Conclusions drawn reflect an in depth
Y N Subheading, "Discussion of Results" provided for this section Y N Under the subheading of "Discussion of Results", organized by each research question (next level of subheading, APA)	critical analysis of the results and are not stated as patterns, trends, or themes. In some cases, the results were overstated.  COMMENTS	analysis of the results and are stated as patterns, trends, or themes. In only a few instances, the results were overstated.	and critical analysis of the results. The patterns, trends, or themes are comprehensively stated and clearly supported by results. The results were not overstated.
Subfleating, 111 71)			
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS: LITERATURE SUPPORT  SCORE:	1 The professional literature was minimally used to support the discussion of the results.	2 The professional literature was used in at least five instances to help clarify and interpret the results.	3 The professional literature was used in at more than five instances to help clarify and interpret the results providing a rich context for the discussion of results.
	COMMENTS		

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION COMPONENT	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
Considerations for Strengthening the Study	1 Important barriers and limitations are not	2 Important barriers and limitations are accounted	<b>3</b> A comprehensive discussion of barriers and
Y N Subheading, "Considerations for Strengthening the Study" provided for this section	accounted for in this section. The identification of perspectives represented in the study and missing perspectives	for in this section. The identification of perspectives represented in the study are described and at least two missing	limitations is presented for this section. The identification of perspectives represented in the study are described
SCORE:	are not clearly identified. The impact of your own bias related to the interpretation of the data is not addressed. Identification of what might be done differently is sketchy.	perspectives identified. The impact of your own bias is addressed. Identification of what might be done differently is described, identifying key ways to adjust the study.	and a complete discussion of missing perspectives is presented. The impact of your own bias is addressed. A comprehensive identification of what might be done differently is described.
	COMMENTS		

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION COMPONENT	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH AND NEXT STEPS  Y N Subheading, "Recommendations for Future Research and Next Steps" is provided for this section  SCORE:	1 Recommendations for future research are minimally described and an incomplete discussion of next steps is presented.  COMMENTS	2 Two recommendations for future research related to the topic of investigation are described. A discussion of next steps is presented which identifies a minimum of two action steps with a timeline.	3 More than two recommendations for future research related to the topic of investigation are described integrating professional literature in the discussion. A complete discussion of next steps is presented which identifies more than two action steps with a timeline.
FINAL SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS  Y N Subheading, "Final Summary and Conclusions" provided for this section  SCORE:	1 The final summary and conclusions were incomplete. Key findings and conclusions were not fully identified in the context of the purpose for the investigation.	2 The final summary and conclusions highlighted the purpose, major findings, and conclusions for the investigation. Key findings and conclusions were identified in the context of the purpose for the investigation.	3 The final summary and conclusions highlighted the purpose, major findings, and conclusions for the investigation. Key findings and conclusions were fully identified in the context of the purpose for the investigation. This section was written succinctly and did not exceed three paragraphs.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION COMPONENT	NEEDS IMPROVEMENT	MEETS EXPECTATIONS	EXCEEDS EXPECTATIONS
Professional and Technical Writing	1 Headings and subheadings are not used in text. Transitions between sections and	2 Headings provided and use of subheadings is evident where appropriate. Transitions between	<b>3</b> Headings provided and subheadings used in a logical way. Transitions between sections and
Score:	paragraphs are limited. Several sentences are confusing and lack clarity and conciseness. Several errors noted including	sections and paragraphs provided in most cases. Sentences approach concise language and are clearly written. Minor	paragraphs evident. Sentences are concise and clearly written to convey information in an economical way. Almost
Y N Paper is double-spaced, 12 point font	typos, grammar, spelling, and organization. Several	errors and typos noted in grammar, spelling, and	no errors and typos noted in grammar, spelling, and
Y N Length is appropriate for this section (variable depending on project)	APA style errors in text.	organization. Minor APA errors in text that can be easily fixed.	organization. Almost no APA errors in text.
Y N Pages are numbered	COMMENTS	,	
Y N Citations are consistent and reflect appropriate APA format (using the APA guidelines handed out in class)			

Overall S	Score:	

	С	B/C	В	A/B	A
Final Score	Below 10	11-13	14-16	17-18	19-21