

#### Assessment 4 – Data Table for Student Teaching Final Evaluation

This data table is different from the one in the PE SPA report. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation now uses the scale 3, 2, 1, N/O with 3 being high. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation scoring guide and data table have been modified to incorporate this change.

Data from Fall 2007: Number of candidates = 7

	<b>Professional Behavior</b>	<b>Professional Role Model</b>	<b>Planning</b>	<b>Establish Effective Learning Environment</b>	<b>Instruction</b>	<b>Evidence of Student Learning</b>
<b>3</b>	4 (57.1%)	6 (85.7%)	4 (57.1%)	4 (57.1%)	5 (71.4%)	3 (42.9%)
<b>2</b>	3 (42.9%)	1 (14.3%)	3 (42.9%)	3 (42.9%)	2 (28.6%)	4 (57.1%)
<b>1</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>N/O</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

#### Acceptable Level

In order to pass student teaching candidates must attain a minimum of **level 2** in each category.

#### Assessment 4 – Data Table for Student Teaching Final Evaluation

This data table is different from the one in the PE SPA report. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation now uses the scale 3, 2, 1, N/O with 3 being high. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation scoring guide and data table have been modified to incorporate this change.

Data from Spring 2008 : Number of candidates = 6

	<b>Professional Behavior</b>	<b>Professional Role Model</b>	<b>Planning</b>	<b>Establish Effective Learning Environment</b>	<b>Instruction</b>	<b>Evidence of Student Learning</b>
<b>3</b>	5 (83.3%)	6 (100.0%)	5 (83.3%)	6 (100.0%)	6 (100.0%)	5 (83.3%)
<b>2</b>	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (16.7%)
<b>1</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
<b>N/O</b>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)