# **Assessment 4 – Data Table for Student Teaching Final Evaluation**

This data table is different from the one in the PE SPA report. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation now uses the scale 3, 2, 1, N/O with 3 being high. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation scoring guide and data table have been modified to incorporate this change.

## <u>Data from Fall 2006</u>: <u>Number of candidates = 11</u>

	Professional Behavior	Professional Role Model	Planning	Establish Effective Learning Environment	Instruction	Evidence of Student Learning
3	10 (90.9%)	10 (90.1%)	7 (63.6%)	9 (81.8%)	10 (90.1%)	7 (63.6%)
2	1 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)	4 (36.4%)	2 (18.2%)	1 (9.1%)	4 (36.4%)
1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
N/O	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

## Acceptable Level

In order to pass student teaching candidates <u>must</u> attain a minimum of <u>level 2</u> in each category.

## **Assessment 4 – Data Table for Student Teaching Final Evaluation**

This data table is different from the one in the PE SPA report. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation now uses the scale 3, 2, 1, N/O with 3 being high. The Student Teaching Final Evaluation scoring guide and data table have been modified to incorporate this change.

<u>Data from Spring 2007</u>: Number of candidates = 8

	Professional Behavior	Professional Role Model	Planning	Establish Effective Learning Environment	Instruction	Evidence of Student Learning
3	8 (100.0%)	8 (100.0%)	7 (87.5%)	8 (100.0%)	7 (87.5%)	5 (62.5%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	3 (37.5%)
1	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
N/O	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

## Acceptable Level

In order to pass student teaching candidates <u>must</u> attain a minimum of <u>level 2</u> in each category.